arXiv:1303.2788v1 [astro-ph.CO] 12 Mar 2013

Exact Mapping between Tensor and Most General Scalar Power Spectra

Jose Beltrán Jimenez,[*] Marcello Musso,[†] and Christophe Ringeval[‡]

Centre for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology,
Institute of Mathematics and Physics, Louvain University,
2 Chemin du Cyclotron, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
(Dated: March 13, 2013)

We prove an exact relation between the tensor and the scalar primordial power spectra generated during inflation. Such a mapping considerably simplifies the derivation of any power spectra as they can be obtained from the study of the tensor modes only. As an illustration, starting from the second order slow-roll tensor power spectrum, we derive in a few lines the next-to-next-to-leading order power spectrum of the comoving curvature perturbation in generalized single field inflation with a varying speed of sound.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

INTRODUCTION

Cosmic inflation is currently considered to be the standard lore to explain the origin of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) anisotropies and the large scale structures of our Universe. In addition to solve the so-called "problems" of the standard Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) model, inflation makes definite predictions for the cosmological perturbations in the earliest times of the Universe's history [1–4]. At linear order, it predicts an almost scale invariant power spectrum for the comoving curvature perturbation ζ in complete agreement with the spectral index measured in the most recent CMB data [5–8]. Confronting the predictions of inflationary models with increasingly more accurate cosmological data has pushed forward various theoretical developments. Among them, the search for non-Gaussianities has triggered interests into the calculation of higher n-point functions for ζ which are expected to trace any departures from a single slow-rolling field [9–14]. This is particularly timely as the soon to be released Planck satellite data should be particularly sensitive to non-Gaussianities [15]. One should nevertheless keep in mind that the flow of more accurate data allows to get more precise insights into the shape of the primordial power spectra, and this remains an observable of choice to discriminate between various models of inflation. In this respect several complementary approaches have been proposed. Given a model of inflation, it is always possible to exactly evaluate the power spectrum using numerical methods[1], eventually complemented with Bayesian model comparison to determine how well they suit in any cosmological data sets [16–19]. A second method, which is the one we will be interested in, consists in parametrising the power spectra of a broad class of models using the slow-roll expansion [20–25] and defining an infinite hierarchy of so-called Hubble flow functions [23]

$$\epsilon_{i+1} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln|\epsilon_i|}{\mathrm{d}N}, \quad \epsilon_1 \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln H}{\mathrm{d}N}\,, \tag{1}$$

where H is the the Hubble parameter and $N \equiv \ln a$ with a the scale factor during inflation. By definition, the expansion of the universe is accelerated if $\epsilon_1 < 1$ and the slow-roll approximation relies on the extra-assumption that $\epsilon_1 \ll 1$ and $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. Provided this is verified, one can consistently solve order by order the evolution equations for the cosmological perturbations. After some appropriate field redefinitions, the equations for the two polarization degrees of freedom h_λ of the tensor modes and for the scalar comoving curvature perturbation ζ can all be written in Fourier space in terms of a Mukhanov-Sasaki variable v verifying [26]

$$v'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right) v = 0, \tag{2}$$

where a "prime" denotes differentiation with respect to an appropriate time variable τ, and z is a suitable function of τ. For instance, in canonical single field inflation $\tau = \eta$ is the standard conformal time ($\mathrm{d}\eta = \mathrm{d}t/a$); for the tensor modes $v(k,\eta) \equiv h_\lambda(k,\eta)\, z(\eta)$ with $z(\eta) \equiv a(\eta)$ [1], while for the scalar mode $v(k,\eta) \equiv \sqrt{2}\,\zeta(k,\eta)\, z(\eta)$ with $z(\eta) \equiv a(\eta)\sqrt{\epsilon_1(\eta)}$ [27]. In fact, this equation remains the same for any single field model with the most generic quadratic action, such as K-inflation [28–32]. In that case, τ is a rescaled conformal time defined by $\mathrm{d}\tau = c_\mathrm{s}(\eta)\mathrm{d}\eta$, where c_s stands for the "sound speed" associated with the scalar perturbations. The Mukhanov variable still reads $v(k,\tau) \equiv \sqrt{2}\,\zeta(k,\tau)\, z(\tau)$, with now $z(\tau) \equiv a(\tau)\sqrt{\epsilon_1(\tau)/c_\mathrm{s}(\tau)}$. In the slow-roll approximation it is possible to solve consistently Eq. (2) at second order using different techniques such as the Green function method [33], the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin

---

[*] Electronic address: jose.beltran@uclouvain.be
[†] Electronic address: marcello.musso@uclouvain.be
[‡] Electronic address: christophe.ringeval@uclouvain.be

[1] see for instance `http://theory.physics.unige.ch/~ringeval/fieldinf.html`

(WKB) approximation [34, 35] or the uniform approximation [36, 37]. For instance, the second order tensor power spectrum has been derived using the Green function method in Ref. [24], and reads

$$\mathcal{P}_h = \frac{2H_*^2}{\pi^2 M_{\mathrm{P}}^2}\left\{1 - 2(1+C)\epsilon_{1*} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} - 3 + 2C + 2C^2\right)\epsilon_{1*}^2 + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{12} - 2 - 2C - C^2\right)\epsilon_{1*}\epsilon_{2*} \right. \\ \left. + \left[-2\epsilon_{1*} + (2+4C)\epsilon_{1*}^2 - 2(1+C)\epsilon_{1*}\epsilon_{2*}\right]\ln\left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right) + \left(2\epsilon_{1*}^2 - \epsilon_{1*}\epsilon_{2*}\right)\ln^2\left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where $M_{\mathrm{P}}^2 = 8\pi G$ is the reduced Planck mass and C is a constant equals to $C = \gamma + \ln 2 - 2 \simeq -0.729637$. For the sake of clarity, let us emphasize that this expression simply comes from the integration of Eq.(2) by keeping all *functions* of order less or equal than $\mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon_i^2\right)$, and dropping the higher order ones. Moreover, the power spectrum has been expanded around an unique pivot scale, k_*, such that all "star" quantities are evaluated at the time η_* defined by $k_*\eta_* = -1$. This last step is necessary in order to explicit the dependence on the wavenumber k. Let us notice that the spectral index $n_{\mathrm{T}} = \mathrm{d}\ln\mathcal{P}_h/\mathrm{d}\ln k|_{k_*}$ and the running $\alpha_{\mathrm{T}} \equiv \mathrm{d}^2\ln\mathcal{P}_h/\mathrm{d}(\ln k)^2|_{k_*}$ are immediately obtained by expanding the logarithm of Eq. (3)[2]. Up to now, all these integration techniques have been independently applied to either the tensor or the scalar modes, at a given order, and for a given class of single field models. As one can check in the references mentioned earlier, the scalar mode calculations are usually much more involved than those for the tensors. For instance, the equivalent of Eq. (3) for the comoving curvature can be found in Ref. [24, 33]. Only very recently, the second order scalar spectrum has been derived in K-inflation using the uniform approximation in Ref. [38]. In this work, we derive an exact mapping between all the power spectra by noticing that even though the integration methods are different, they all start from the same functional form, namely Eq. (2). In the next section, we explicitly prove the existence of such transformation by introducing some generalized flow functions, very similar to the usual ones of Eq. (1). Then we apply our method to the Green function integration approach and derive, for the first time and in a few lines, the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation at second order for K-inflation.

GENERALIZED FLOW FUNCTIONS

From Eq. (2), one can reinterpret the function $z(\tau)$ as being a generalized scale factor from which one could define a generalized e-fold number $\tilde{N} \equiv \ln z$ and a generalized Hubble parameter $\tilde{H}$ with its conformal analogue $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \equiv z\tilde{H}$ such that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\tau) \equiv \frac{z'}{z} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{N}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\,. \tag{4}$$

As a result, one can construct a infinite hierarchy of generalized flow function α_i, exactly as in Eq. (1), but based on this rescaled Hubble parameter:

$$\alpha_{i+1} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln|\alpha_i|}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{N}}, \quad \alpha_1 \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\tilde{H}}{\mathrm{d}\tilde{N}}\,. \tag{5}$$

In terms of these generalized quantities, we know that, up to an overall normalization accounting for the different quantum initial conditions for scalars versus tensors (such as the number or polarization states), the power spectrum of any quantities at second order using the Green function method must be given by Eq. (3) with the replacement

$$\epsilon_{i*} \to \alpha_{i*}, \qquad H_* \to \tilde{H}_*. \tag{6}$$

Even though this observation seems trivial, up to our knowledge, such a symmetry has not been used before. These generalized quantities are the only ones that we can measure by detecting the amplitude and spectral index of the scalar perturbations alone. From a purely effective point of view, it has been noticed that the interplay of ϵ_1 and c_{s} can lead to an exactly scale invariant power spectrum also for finite values of ϵ_1, at the cost of breaking the scale invariance of the tensor modes [39]. Even more radically, scale invariant scalar perturbations can also be obtained in non-inflationary backgrounds if one relies on other mechanisms to solve the horizon and flatness problem [40, 41]. Assuming that c_{s} is a free function, from Eqs. (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{N} &= N + \frac{1}{2}\left(\ln\epsilon_1 - \ln c_{\mathrm{s}}\right), \\ \tilde{H} &= \frac{H}{\sqrt{\epsilon_1 c_{\mathrm{s}}}}\left(1 + \frac{\epsilon_2 + \delta_1}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

---

[2] At second order, it is also crucial to specify the pivot scale definition. In particular, the pivot of Refs. [24, 33] is set at $k = aH$ instead of $k_*\eta_* = -1$ here. This is the reason why some numerical coefficients in Eq. (3) are different in front of the second order terms.

where we have defined the usual sound flow hierarchy [42]

$$\delta_{i+1} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln|\delta_i|}{\mathrm{d}N}, \quad \delta_1 \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln c_\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{d}N}\,. \tag{8}$$

It is important to notice that Eq. (7) contains exact functional relations between the usual Hubble and sound flow functions and the generalized ones. As such, they can be used in *any* approximation schemes or expansions. They are also complete as they fix by recurrence the mapping of the full hierarchy. For instance, using $\mathrm{d}N/\mathrm{d}\tilde{N} = [1 + (\epsilon_2 + \delta_1)/2]^{-1}$ the exact functional relations for the first two generalized flow functions α_1 and α_2 are

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{\left(1+\dfrac{\epsilon_2+\delta_1}{2}\right)^2}\left(\epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_2 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_2\epsilon_3 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_1\delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_1\delta_2 - \frac{1}{4}\delta_1^2\right),\\
\alpha_2 &= \frac{1}{1+\dfrac{\epsilon_2+\delta_1}{2}}\left(-\frac{\epsilon_2\epsilon_3+\delta_1\delta_2}{1+\dfrac{\epsilon_2+\delta_1}{2}}\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{2\epsilon_1\epsilon_2+\epsilon_2\epsilon_3-\delta_1\delta_2+\epsilon_1\epsilon_2^2+\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3+\epsilon_2^2\epsilon_3-\epsilon_2\epsilon_3^2-\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_4+\epsilon_1\epsilon_2\delta_1+\epsilon_1\delta_1\delta_2-\delta_1\delta_2^2-\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3-\delta_1^2\delta_2}{2\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2-\delta_1+\epsilon_1\epsilon_2+\dfrac{1}{2}\epsilon_2^2-\epsilon_2\epsilon_3+\epsilon_1\delta_1-\delta_1\delta_2-\dfrac{1}{2}\delta_1^2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Standard single field inflation is recovered by plugging $c_\mathrm{s} = 1$ and $\delta_i = 0$ in Eqs. (7) and (9). In the following, we adopt a conservative approach by assuming c_s is a free but slowly varying function such that $\delta_i \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$.

SCALAR POWER SPECTRUM WITH VARYING SPEED OF SOUND

The above mapping can now be applied to straightforwardly derive the second order power spectrum for the comoving curvature perturbation in generalized single field models with varying speed of sound. Plugging Eqs. (7) and (9) into Eq. (3), Taylor expanding everything at second order in the ϵ_i and δ_i parameters, dividing by 16 to take into account the number of quantized degrees of freedom, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \frac{H_\star^2}{8\pi^2 M_\mathrm{P}^2\epsilon_{1\star}c_{\mathrm{s}\star}}\Bigg\{&1 - 2(1+C)\epsilon_{1\star} - C\epsilon_{2\star} + (2+C)\delta_{1\star} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} - 3 + 2C + 2C^2\right)\epsilon_{1\star}^2 + \left(\frac{7\pi^2}{12} - 6 - C + C^2\right)\epsilon_{1\star}\epsilon_{2\star}\\
&+ \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8} - 1 + \frac{C^2}{2}\right)\epsilon_{2\star}^2 + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{24} - \frac{C^2}{2}\right)\epsilon_{2\star}\epsilon_{3\star} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{8} \boldsymbol{+n_\star} + C + \frac{C^2}{2}\right)\delta_{1\star}^2 + \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{24} + 2 + 2C + \frac{C^2}{2}\right)\delta_{1\star}\delta_{2\star}\\
&+ \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{2} \boldsymbol{+p_\star} - 3C - 2C^2\right)\delta_{1\star}\epsilon_{1\star} + \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{4} \boldsymbol{+q_\star} - C - C^2\right)\delta_{1\star}\epsilon_{2\star}\\
&+ \Big[- 2\epsilon_{1\star} - \epsilon_{2\star} + \delta_{1\star} + (2+4C)\epsilon_{1\star}^2 + (-1+2C)\epsilon_{1\star}\epsilon_{2\star} + C\epsilon_{2\star}^2 - C\epsilon_{2\star}\epsilon_{3\star} + (1+C)\delta_{1\star}^2 + (2+C)\delta_{1\star}\delta_{2\star}\\
&- (3+4C)\delta_{1\star}\epsilon_{1\star} - (1+2C)\delta_{1\star}\epsilon_{2\star}\Big]\ln\left(\frac{k}{k_\star}\right)\\
&+ \left[2\epsilon_{1\star}^2 + \epsilon_{1\star}\epsilon_{2\star} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{2\star}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{2\star}\epsilon_{3\star} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{1\star}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{1\star}\delta_{2\star} - 2\delta_{1\star}\epsilon_{1\star} - \delta_{1\star}\epsilon_{2\star}\right]\ln^2\left(\frac{k}{k_\star}\right)\Bigg\},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we have introduced three quantities whose purpose will be clarified below:

$$n_\star = 0, \qquad p_\star = 2, \qquad q_\star = 2. \tag{11}$$

This expression has never been derived before, but we can make some cross-checks with other approximation methods. First of all, setting $c_{\mathrm{s}\star}=1$ and $\delta_{i\star}=0$, we recover exactly the same expression as in Refs. [24, 33], once the pivot has been switched from $k=aH$ to ours, i.e. $k_\star\eta_\star=-1$ for $c_{\mathrm{s}\star}=1$ (see below). In the general case, Ref. [43] claims to have performed such a second order expansion using Green functions but their derivation does not include the pivot expansion and it is assumed that $c_{\mathrm{s}}\simeq 1$, which makes it hardly comparable with our result. On the other hand, as for the tensor modes, the spectral index $n_{\mathrm{S}}-1$ and the running α_{S} at second order can be immediately read out from the logarithm of Eq. (10). By a trick described in Ref. [25], these quantities can be known at second order by using the power spectrum at first order. Using this trick, their expressions have already been derived in the literature, as for instance in Refs. [30, 42]. Our result matches with both the spectral index and running and we do not repeat their expression here[3]. Finally, Ref. [38] has recently derived the very same power spectrum but using the uniform approximation. Up to the well know differences between the Green function method and the WKB/uniform approximations, Eq. (10) is compatible with this reference after one has performed the change of pivot described below. A last check we have performed is to apply the mapping technique within the uniform approximation scheme. Starting from the tensor power spectrum at second order given in Ref. [38], using Eqs. (7) and (9), we have reproduced the second order power spectrum in the uniform approximation derived in that reference. It is important to understand that the mapping method automatically induces a transformation on the pivot definition. Starting from the tensor mode pivot of Eq. (3) defined at $k_*\eta_*=-1$, we get the scalar pivot defined in the same way but with the transformed quantities, i.e. at $k_\star\tau_\star=-1$. As a result, Eq. (10) is expressed in terms of quantities evaluated at the time $\eta_\star$ such that

$$k_\star\int_{\eta_\star}^{0} c_{\mathrm{s}}(\eta)\mathrm{d}\eta=-1. \tag{12}$$

To be complete, we would like to express the power spectrum at the more widespread pivot $\eta_\diamond$ defined by

$$k_\diamond\eta_\diamond c_{\mathrm{s}\diamond}=-1. \tag{13}$$

Changing from one pivot to the other is a straightforward, but lengthy, calculation that requires performing slow-roll expansions for all terms of Eq. (10). Details on such a transformation can be found in Ref. [42] and we simply here report the result. One gets exactly the same expression as Eq. (10) but with three different numerical coefficients for n, p and q given by:

$$n_\diamond=-1,\qquad p_\diamond=4,\qquad q_\diamond=3. \tag{14}$$

These numbers are only involved in the overall amplitude, i.e. not in front of any k-dependent terms and therefore this change of pivot does not affect the spectral index and running at second order (it does at third order). With this new pivot, we have checked that the numerical values of all multiplying coefficients is within a few percents to those given by the uniform approximation of Ref. [38], even though they are defined from different combinations of irrational numbers.

CONCLUSION

We have derived a simple transformation that is summarized by Eqs. (5), (6) and (7) which allows to map the tensor mode perturbations into the scalar ones. This transformation being exact, it can be used at any order of a flow expansion and within any approximation schemes to integrate the mode equation. We have illustrated its usefulness by deriving for the first time the second order power spectrum for the comoving curvature perturbation using the Green function method and for generalized single field inflation models having a varying speed of sound. As the calculation involving the tensor modes are far easier than those involving the scalars, our approach open the feasibility window for higher order expansions. In principle, our mapping can also be used directly for the perturbed variables and this could also simplify the derivation of higher n-point functions involved in the calculations of non-Gaussianities.

This work is supported by the ESA Belgian Federal PRODEX Grant No. 4000103071 and the Wallonia-Brussels Federation grant ARC No. 11/15-040.

[1] A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. **30**, 682 (1979).
[2] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. **B91**, 99 (1980).
[3] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 347 (1981).
[4] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B108**, 389 (1982).
[5] C. Bennett, D. Larson, J. Weiland, N. Jarosik, G. Hinshaw, et al. (2012), 1212.5225.
[6] G. Hinshaw, D. Larson, E. Komatsu, D. Spergel, C. Bennett, et al. (2012), 1212.5226.
[7] Z. Hou, C. Reichardt, K. Story, B. Follin, R. Keisler, et al. (2012), 1212.6267.
[8] J. L. Sievers, R. A. Hlozek, M. R. Nolta, V. Acquaviva, G. E. Addison, et al. (2013), 1301.0824.
[9] D. Seery and J. E. Lidsey, JCAP **0506**, 003 (2005), astro-ph/0503692.
[10] X. Chen, M.-x. Huang, S. Kachru, and G. Shiu, JCAP **0701**, 002 (2007), hep-th/0605045.
[11] M. Musso (2006), hep-th/0611258.
[12] T. Suyama and M. Yamaguchi, Phys.Rev. **D77**, 023505 (2008), 0709.2545.
[13] D. Huterer, S. Shandera, and E. Komatsu, Adv.Astron. **2010**, 697147 (2010), 1012.3744.

---

[3] Let us notice that applying the method of Ref. [25] to our results gives now the spectral index and running at third order.

[14] P. Creminelli, G. D'Amico, M. Musso, and J. Norena, JCAP **1111**, 038 (2011), 1106.1462.

[15] J.-M. Lamarre, J.-L. Puget, P. A. R. Ade, F. Bouchet, G. Guyot, A. E. Lange, F. Pajot, A. Arondel, K. Benabed, J.-L. Beney, et al., Astron. & Astrophys. **520**, A9 (2010).

[16] J. Martin and C. Ringeval, JCAP **0608**, 009 (2006), astro-ph/0605367.

[17] C. Ringeval, Lect. Notes Phys. **738**, 243 (2008), astro-ph/0703486.

[18] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and R. Trotta, Phys.Rev. **D83**, 063524 (2011), 1009.4157.

[19] R. Easther and H. V. Peiris, Phys.Rev. **D85**, 103533 (2012), 1112.0326.

[20] V. F. Mukhanov, JETP Lett. **41**, 493 (1985).

[21] E. D. Stewart and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Lett. **B302**, 171 (1993), gr-qc/9302019.

[22] J. Martin and D. J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 103520 (2000), astro-ph/9911225.

[23] D. J. Schwarz, C. A. Terrero-Escalante, and A. A. Garcia, Phys. Lett. **B517**, 243 (2001), astro-ph/0106020.

[24] S. M. Leach, A. R. Liddle, J. Martin, and D. J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 023515 (2002), astro-ph/0202094.

[25] D. J. Schwarz and C. A. Terrero-Escalante, JCAP **0408**, 003 (2004), hep-ph/0403129.

[26] J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys.Lett. **B458**, 219 (1999), hep-th/9904176.

[27] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. **215**, 203 (1992).

[28] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys.Rev. **D70**, 103505 (2004), hep-th/0310221.

[29] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, Phys.Rev. **D70**, 123505 (2004), hep-th/0404084.

[30] W. H. Kinney and K. Tzirakis, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 103517 (2008), 0712.2043.

[31] C. Cheung, P. Creminelli, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, and L. Senatore, JHEP **0803**, 014 (2008), 0709.0293.

[32] D. Baumann, L. Senatore, and M. Zaldarriaga, JCAP **1105**, 004 (2011), 1101.3320.

[33] J.-O. Gong and E. D. Stewart, Phys. Lett. **B510**, 1 (2001), astro-ph/0101225.

[34] J. Martin and D. J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 083512 (2003), astro-ph/0210090.

[35] R. Casadio, F. Finelli, M. Luzzi, and G. Venturi, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 043517 (2005), gr-qc/0410092.

[36] S. Habib, K. Heitmann, G. Jungman, and C. Molina-Paris, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 281301 (2002), astro-ph/0208443.

[37] S. Habib, A. Heinen, K. Heitmann, G. Jungman, and C. Molina-Paris, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 083507 (2004), astro-ph/0406134.

[38] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, and V. Vennin (2013), 1303.2120.

[39] J. Khoury and F. Piazza, JCAP **0907**, 026 (2009), 0811.3633.

[40] C. Armendariz-Picon and E. A. Lim, JCAP **0312**, 002 (2003), astro-ph/0307101.

[41] J. Khoury and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys.Rev. **D83**, 123502 (2011), 1101.3548.

[42] L. Lorenz, J. Martin, and C. Ringeval, Phys.Rev. **D78**, 083513 (2008), 0807.3037.

[43] H. Wei, R.-G. Cai, and A. Wang, Phys.Lett. **B603**, 95 (2004), hep-th/0409130.